

FILED	RECEIVED
ENTERED	SERVED ON
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
JUN 26 2023	
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT	
DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
BY: _____	DEPUTY

1 GREGORY LEE WOLF,

2 Plaintiff,

3 v.

4 STATE OF NEVADA EX REL
5 DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,
6 et al.,

7 Defendants.

8

**ORDER SETTING MANDATORY
TELEPHONIC CASE MANAGEMENT
CONFERENCE**

9

10 The court has now screened Plaintiff's complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a) and
11 ordered the case to proceed. In order to ensure the just, speedy, and cost-effective resolution of
12 this action, the court orders the parties to attend a mandatory telephonic case management
13 conference as described more fully below. No discovery may proceed until the court enters a full
14 scheduling order.

15

I. Mandatory Telephonic Case Management Conference

16 A mandatory telephonic case management conference will be held on **TUESDAY, JULY**
17 **18, 2023, at 9:00 A.M.** To join the conference, each party is directed to call the toll-free
18 telephone number **1-888-557-8511**; Access Code: **3599743**; Security Code: **71823**,
19 approximately five (5) minutes prior to the hearing. The parties should be available for one-half
20 hour, although the case management conference will likely take less time.

21

II. Case Management Report

22 In advance of the mandatory case management conference, each party shall file a case
23 management report no later than **TUESDAY, JULY 11, 2023**.

1 The case management report must not exceed **5 pages** and must not include any attached
2 exhibits. Each party's case management report must include the following information in
3 separately numbered paragraphs as designated below:

4 1) A brief statement of the nature of the case, including a brief description of the claims
5 and defenses;

6 2) The location of potentially relevant documents;

7 3) The discovery each party intends to take, if any;

8 4) Any options or methods for the streamlining and/or bifurcation of discovery or the
9 litigation;

10 5) Whether the defense of failure to exhaust administrative remedies may apply to any
11 claim(s) and whether that defense, if successful, would be dispositive of the entire case or certain
12 claims in the litigation. If a defense of failure to exhaust administrative remedies will be raised,
13 address whether any discovery needs to be conducted as to that defense only, and if so, what
14 discovery is required, and provide an estimate of how long the parties need to complete this
15 discovery;

16 6) Whether the party intends to use expert witnesses;

17 7) Whether the party intends to proceed by consent before the magistrate judge.

18 Presently, when a civil trial is set before the district judges, any criminal trial set that conflicts
19 with the civil trial will take priority, even if the civil trial was set first. Continuances of civil
20 trials under these circumstances may no longer be entertained, absent good cause, but the civil
21 trial may instead trail from day to day or week to week until the completion of either the criminal
22 case or an older civil case. The parties are advised that they are free to withhold consent or
23 decline magistrate jurisdiction without adverse substantive consequences; and

8) Any immediate or ongoing issues or requests that require the court's immediate attention or that should be brought to the court's attention.

III. Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order

4 Following the case management conference, the court will issue a discovery plan and
5 scheduling order (DPSO). Once issued, the dates in the DPSO are firm and no extension will be
6 given without permission from the court based on a showing of good cause.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 26, 2023

Craig S. Denney
United States Magistrate Judge